



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,661	11/14/2003	David L. Thompson	P-4334.05	9845
27581	7590	07/27/2007	EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3762		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,661	THOMPSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George R. Evanisko	3762

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 43-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 43-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 43-45, 47 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schroepel (4543955). Schroepel shows the first IMD as element 175 in figure 4 and second IMD as element 144. The first IMD sends data to configure the second IMD (e.g. figure 1, claim 1 of Schroepel) and provides for “cooperative interaction” between the first IMD and second IMD since the first IMD receives stimulation pulses from the second IMD and the second IMD receives information from sensor of the first IMD.

Claims 43-48 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schulman et al (6208894). Schulman receives a sensor/stimulator data set, ID code 303 (e.g. col 4, line 43), descriptive of the implantable device (sensor, stimulator, and/or transponder), along with the sensed data, which is used to configure the initial operation of the other implanted devices, such as the SCUs, “based on” the data set and provides for “cooperative interaction” between the sensors/stimulator and SCUs since the sensors are also stimulators used to deliver stimulation based on information from the SCUs (e.g. col. 4, line 10, col. 4, line 55, col. 5, line

Art Unit: 3762

45 to col. 6) It is noted that “based on” is a broad limitation, and the use of the sensor data set, such as the ID code, will result in the configuring of the other IMDs to be “based on” the data set. For claim 45, the first IMD is connected to the second IMD through transmission of information (wireless, etc). For claim 46, the optional component is the sensor containing the stimulator and/or transponder. For claim 48, Schulman teaches that the other IMDs can be configured to be disabled, such as to not stimulate or to turn off (e.g. columns 9 and 12). For claim 52, Schulman also provides multiple SCUs, both internal and external, to complete communications (e.g. col. 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulman et al (6208894). Schulman discloses the claimed invention for use with a plurality of IMDs,

Art Unit: 3762

including a third IMD, and to send operating parameters, such as sensed signals and battery status back to the SCU, but does not disclose the powering of the first communication circuit by a signal from the second communication circuit, and storing the IMD operating parameters, such as the sensed signals and battery status, in the data set. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the programmer and IMD system and method as taught by Schulman, with the powering of the first communication circuit by a signal from the second communication circuit, and storing the operating parameters of the IMDs in the data set since it was known in the art that programmer and IMD systems and methods use: a powering of a first communication circuit by a signal from the second communication circuit to allow the first IMD to use less power and be of a smaller size/footprint since a smaller battery or no battery is needed; and storing the operating parameters, such as the sensed signals and battery status, in the data set to allow the configuration of the other IMDs to be based on a history/trend of data and/or to be based on the manufactured tolerances of the sensor.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument that Schulman does not contain cooperative interaction between the IMDs is not persuasive since Schulman's sensors also operate as stimulators and/or transponders and provide sensed signals to the SCU and provide stimulation based on the command signals from the SCU.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R Evanisko
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3762

7/13/07

GRE
7/23/07